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Abstract –
This paper presents a case study of a satellite communications site at which equipment was damaged during a lightning storm. The transient ground potential rise (GPR) and stress voltages on the damaged equipment (HPA) during a lightning storm are obtained using the electromagnetic field theory method. The stress voltage on the HPA is reduced by 75% (from 25 kV to 6 kV) when the isolated signal ground wire is electrically bonded to a nearby equipment ground. An extended grounding system has little influence on the stress voltages at the beginning of the transient period; however, it reduces the stress voltages significantly (by about 50%) after the first 3 μs.

I. Introduction
In recent years, rapid growth of wireless communications has put higher demands on the reliability and quality of the services provided by telecommunications companies. In countries such as Malaysia, that have high keraunic levels, equipment damage at communications sites due to lightning strikes is one of the main causes of interruption of service, particularly, when digital electronic devices are used.

This paper presents a case study of a satellite communications site at which equipment was damaged during a lightning storm. Electromagnetic field theory method is used to carry out the study: a computer model is set up which contains conductor networks, including both aboveground metallic structures and grounding systems. A realistic lighting strike is then simulated. The ground potential rise (GPR) values of the building structure and equipment are computed for the existing grounding system and with alternative grounding arrangements. In this paper, we focus on improvements that can be obtained from enhancement of the grounding system and modification of the equipment’s signal grounding locations.

II. Description of the Problem Being Studied

Fig. 1 shows the configuration of the conductor network used in the computer model. There is an antenna on the roof of each of the two equipment rooms, Lab-1A and Lab-2A. The two equipment rooms are hexagonal in shape and are interconnected. There are also several antennas outside this building, as indicated in Fig. 2. The supporting frame of the antenna on Lab-2A was struck by lightning and damage was observed in the Lab-2A equipment room below and in the control room: (a) In the control room, the CPU boards at the Antenna Control Unit (ACU-1 and ACU-2) were damaged; (b) In Lab-2A, the High-Power Amplifier (HPA) was damaged.

Aboveground conductors include the antenna support, the steel rebars of the building and steel casings of the equipment in the equipment room Lab-2A. The antenna support is modeled as a steel pipe with 3 cm outer radius and 1 cm wall thickness. The rebars of the building and equipment frame are represented by solid steel conductor with a radius of 1 cm. The grounding loop conductors consist of copper strips (approximately 25 mm by 3 mm) that are buried 0.5 m below the earth surface. The equipment frames are placed 0.1 m above the earth surface (see Fig. 1(c)). In the present analysis, a skeleton of the building rebar is modeled which includes only the rebars of the ground floor at Lab-2A and in the Control Room (see Fig. 1(a)). Fig. 1 represents the existing grounding system. Fig. 2 presents the first proposed
enhancement of the existing grounding system, consisting of the installation of ground loops at other buildings and antennas, as well as interconnections between them.

As shown in Fig. 1, a direct lightning strike has been simulated by injecting a current at the top of the supporting frame of the Lab-2A antenna. A uniform soil with a 12 Ω-m resistivity was measured and modeled. A relative permittivity (with respect to free space) of 1 and relative permeability (with respect to free space) of 1 are assumed for the soil model.

The following three different grounding systems were studied and compared while the above ground structures remain the same: (a) extended grounding system shown in Fig. 2; (b) existing grounding system shown in Fig. 1(b) without rebars in Lab-2A and Control Room; (c) existing grounding system with rebars shown in Fig. 1(b). The ground potential rise of the conductors as well as the scalar potentials and electromagnetic fields on the ground floor of Lab-2A (Profiles 1-19 in Fig. 1(b)) and at ACU (Profiles 20-32 in Fig. 1(b)) were computed. The scalar potentials and electromagnetic fields have been computed at a number of observation points located on a horizontal plane, at the earth surface (which is also the floor level).

\[ I(t) = I_m \left( e^{-\alpha t} - e^{-\beta t} \right) \]

where \( I_m = 35,706 \) A, \( \alpha = 14203.84 \) sec\(^{-1}\) and \( \beta = 4880435 \) sec\(^{-1}\). Fig. 3 displays the waveform of the lightning surge current. The waveform of the lightning surge is characterized by a 1.2/50 µs wave, with a peak magnitude of 35 kA (an average value observed in the area). The surge signal decays towards zero at about 500 µs.
**III. Computation Methods**

The field theory approach used in this paper is an extension to low frequencies of the moment method used in antenna theory. By solving Maxwell’s electromagnetic field equations, the method allows the computation of the current distribution (as well as the charge or leakage current distribution) in a network consisting of both aboveground and buried conductors with arbitrary orientations. The scalar potentials and electromagnetic fields are thus obtained. The effect of a uniform earth of arbitrary resistivity, permittivity and permeability is completely taken into account by the use of the full Sommerfeld integrals for the computation of the electromagnetic fields. The details of the methods are described in [1] and its references.

To obtain the transient GPR and electromagnetic fields, a number of representative frequencies are selected from the frequency spectrum of the surge signal and then used to compute the frequency domain responses of the GPR and electromagnetic fields. The inverse Fourier transform is applied to the frequency domain responses to obtain the transient GPR and electromagnetic fields. The computation of the frequency domain responses is carried out using the HIFREQ engineering module of the CDEGS software package [2] based on the field theory approach. The Fourier transforms are carried out using the FFTSES engineering module of the CDEGS software package.

**IV. Computation Results**

The spectra in Figs. 4 and 5 indicate that the enhancement of the grounding system only affects the conductor GPRs at low frequencies. The conductor GPR at high frequencies remain virtually the same with the extended grounding system or with the rebar of the concrete floor modeled. The influence of the grounding system on low frequency responses is evident in the transient GPR response, as shown in Fig. 6. The modulated spectra of the three systems are almost identical above 300 kHz. The transient GPR in the extended grounding system decays towards zero more quickly than that in the existing grounding grid. The system exhibits resonance at about 2.7 MHz and 5.4 MHz, which approximate the overall dimension of the network.
The stress voltages at the HPA are presented in Figs. 7 and 8. The stress voltage in Fig. 7 is computed as the ground potential difference (GPD) between the GPR of the frame of the HPA and the potential of a point on the earth surface under the HPA, which represents the isolated ground of the signal wires. In all three cases, the maximum stress voltages are about 25 kV, a value which is large enough to damage the HPA. The extended grounding system has little influence on the stress voltages at the beginning of the transient, however, it reduces the stress voltages significantly (by about 50%) beyond 3 µs. If the isolated ground of the signal wires of the HPA is tied to Ground Pt. 1 (the detail in Fig. 1(b) marks the two locations by “x” between which the stress voltage is computed), the stress voltage (shown in Fig. 8) is now reduced to about 6 kV (almost 75%). This underlines the need for short ground connection leads for protection of equipment during transients. The stress voltages computed at ACU-1 and ACU-2 are similar to those computed at the HPA with a maximum value of about 20 kV.

Although a simplified aboveground conductor network is studied in this paper, the stress voltages analyzed at other locations of the network indicate that these voltages are strongly influenced by the aboveground conductor network (especially along the lightning current conduction path). It appears that an accurate model of the aboveground conductors along the lightning current conduction path (include modeling of rebars) is critical in determining the stress voltages on the equipment. A future study will be conducted to investigate these aspects.
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